UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/803,396	03/18/2004	Ross C. Berryhill	36572-74643	5531
30450 CUMMINS, IN	7590 03/18/200 IC.	EXAMINER		
11 SOUTH ME INDIANAPOLI	ERIDIAN	HYUN, PAUL SANG HWA		
INDIANAFOLI	13, 111 40204		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/18/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

indocket@btlaw.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/803,396	BERRYHILL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

		17.02 6:111 611	17.57
The MAILING DA	TE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 March</u>	<u>ı 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.
application, applicant m application in condition	ust timely file one of the following of allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi	Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request within one of the following time
a) 🔲 The period for reply e	xpiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.	
no event, however, wi Examiner Note: If box	If the statutory period for reply expire to 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	in the final rejection, whichever is later. In g date of the final rejection. FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtain have been filed is the date for pul under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculate set forth in (b) above, if checked.	rposes of determining the period of ext ed from: (1) the expiration date of the s	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	36(a) and the appropriate extension fee of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as the of the final rejection, even if timely filed,
	as filed on . A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appe	eal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter		avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
(a) They raise new is		out prior to the date of filing a brief, nsideration and/or search (see NO	
(c) ☐ They are not deer appeal; and/or	med to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially red	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rejections	
	•	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
	vercome the following rejection(s):		
non-allowable claim(s).	. ,	owable if submitted in a separate, to	timely filed amendment canceling the
how the new or amende	ed claims would be rejected is proves) is (or will be) as follows:		The onteres and an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIC	DENCE		
because applicant failed		t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered it or other evidence is necessary and
entered because the aff	fidavit or other evidence failed to o	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other e REQUEST FOR RECONSIDI		n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.
See Continuation She	<u>et.</u>	t does NOT place the application ir	n condition for allowance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Info 13. ☐ Other:	rmation <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ((PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
/Jill Warden/			
Supervisory Patent Exam	iner, Art Unit 1797		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the amendment fails to distinguish the claimed invention from the cited references. Applicant argues that the claimed invention comprises a long run averaging filter and a short run averaging filter and that the cited references do not disclose such filters. This argument is not persuasive because the limitations "long" and "short" are not defined in the Specification or the claims. It is unclear what distinguishes a "long" run averaging filter from a "short" run averaging filter. Thus, the limitations are interpreted as broadly as possible within reason. That said, all averaging filters can be construed to be within the scope of either a long run averaging filter or a short run averaging filter.